Strahlman, Dr. L. 11-2-41
411 Medico Dental Bldg.

Good THOUGHT Good WORD Good DEED

Circulation This Week 1750



VOLUME XI. NO. 16. Phone: Randolph 2874 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JANUARY 13, 1941. (Legal Newspaper) $2.50 PER YEAR—SINGLE COPY 5 CENTS

The President's Oath

To Preserve, Protect and Defend The Constitution of the U. S. A.

Why Persecute Christians?

January 7, 1941

Franklin Delano Roosevelt, Esq.,
President, U. S. A.
The White House.

Sir:

Apparently there is some misaprehension which is taking a tragic turn at present in the public matters of our country concerning the true faith and allegiance of the American citizen. This condition needs to be cleared up before irreparable damage is done to our Republic and its patriotic citizens.

The difference between the U. S. A. and any monarchy or dictatorship, call it "leadership"—for that is what totalitarianism amounts to whether in Germany, Italy, Russia, Turkey or elsewhere—lies in the allegiance which the individual swears or affirms.

In England and any other monarchical nation, the allegiance is given to a certain person, the king, emperor, etc., same at it is in the "leadership" countries where the Fuehrer or Duce commands and the citizens-subjects must obey, or else. In our nation the citizen is the sovereign, equal in law and power, rights and privileges, we have no lords, peers and aristocracy and we are not subjects of His Majesty.

True Faith and Allegiance in the U. S. A. is due from the citizen to the Constitution and Laws of the U. S. A. **not to the Chief Executive, nor to the Congress** or any other official.

When I became naturalized I promised to "support and defend the Constitution and the laws of the U. S. A. against all enemies, foreign and domestic and that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same".

In like fashion every public official takes an oath of allegiance to the Constitution and the Laws of the U. S. A. and not to any particular individual or person, or office, or officer, which is different from the allegiance given in England to the King and in Germany to Der Fuehrer Adolf Hitler. This is the important difference which seems to have been lost sight of presently and is doing much harm, causing confusion and is resulting in unnecessary tragedy.

Oath of Office of President

The U. S. Constitution Art. II, Section 1 (8) reads:

8. Before he enter on the execution of his office, he shall take the following oath or affirmation:—"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfuly execute the office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my ability, **preserve, protect and defend** the Constitution of the Untied States".

The tie that binds us American citizens is the Constitution and the laws enacted **under the Constitution** but not contrary to it.

Enemies of the U. S. A.

It is fundamental that we bear in mind that our allegiance and faith is to the Constitution, first last and all the time and it alone is the yardstick of our allegiance and loyalty. Thus any law enacted by the congress in violation of constitutional provisions does not only not have binding force upon us but on the contrary, such enactment becomes **inimical to the Constitution** and whoever attempts to enforce it merely because the congress passed it and the executive approved and signed it, is commiting an act **inimical to the United States of America.**

The Supreme Court has ruled in various instances that where an act was unconstitutional the citizen who complied with it and as a result suffered damages, has no redress in the courts because **he should have followed the constitution** and not the act of congress.

Similarly the high court has ruled that where a citizen **inflicts damage** while obeying the provisions of an unconstitutional act, he is fully liable for the full amount of damages thus caused because **he should have followed the constitution.**

In short, the constitution prevails in all matters, for it is the fundamental covenant under which congress, the executive and all other officials of the government are receiving powers, duties and compensation.

Religious Freedom

If you will read the First Amendment to the Constitution, first section, first sentence of the Bill of Rights, you will find that it states:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof . . . .

Jewish Refugees

It is a strange spectacle to notice that Jewish refugees are being admited in large numbers to our shores after they left Europe, claiming to have suffered persecution because of their religion, while at the same time American Christians are being persecuted by their own government for adherence to their religion.

I am referring to the case of two typical instances.

While congress shall make no law prohibiting the free exercise of religion, nevertheless when it enacted the selective service act recently, while it attempted to respect the religious objections of draftees, it failed to make provisions for those whose religion forbids them to even register for the draft.

The first instance came to my attention in the case of the 8 theological students in New York City who were sentenced to prison for refusing to register, while they stated in open court that they had to choose between obeying the law and obeying their God. They decided to obey God.

Can you tell me what is wrong with such a decision? Do you prefer the company of faithles people, of opportunists without principle who turn whichever way the wind blows if there is a profit, or an advantage for them? Is not loyalty to God the very first tie upon which the very covenant of the constitution itself is based? Does not every citizen and every official and officer make that covenant of allegiance, **based upon his faith in God?** Since his faith in God has been made the basis upon which he promises loyalty and obedience to the constitution and the laws of the U. S. A. how can he keep his allegiance by first becoming disloyal to God?

This is a situation of tremendous import. It cannot be treated lightly, shrugged away or ignored. It cannot be turned into an occasion of persecuting those who hold to the fundamental faith in God upon which their loyalty to the constitution is predicated.

It does not matter that the 8 students in their ignorance of their constitutional rights and immunities plead guilty to the charge of refusing to register for the draft. They qualified their "guilt" subsequently and that places them completely under the protection of the First Amendment.

If such trials were properly conducted, with due respect for the constitution by the court, the prosecution would have to prove beyond any reasonable doubt that the "evaders" were not sincere in their religious claims. Only in that case could they be punished under the selective service act, for congress has no power to make a law that prohibits the free exercise of their religion.

The second case which came to my attention is that of a Methodist minister from Portland, Oregon and a professor of English, a Quaker, both of whom refused to register on religious grounds.

Not being before the Bar, arguing the merit of the Christian religion, as viewed by the two "evaders", it makes no difference whether we agree with their religious concepts or not; the fact stands that to them it is the fundamental tie that relates them to God, which they wisely refuse to violate, for if they become traitors to God upon what basis could they keep faith with the U. S. A.?

Pardoning Powers of President

Article II, Section 2 of the constitution gives the president power to grant reprieves and pardons.

In the above cases mentioned it appears to me that a miscarriage of justice took place.

As I stated before, it makes no difference that the 10 "evaders" did not defend their cases properly; the courts as well as you are sworn to protect their constitutional rights. Since the prosecution failed to establish the fact that their religious objections were faked for the purpose of evading registration, the constitutional right of the exercise of their religious freedom prevails.

It would be a strange sight, indeed, to offer asylum to Jews who are fleeing Europe and then turn around and throw into road camps the cream of American manhood, men who dare keep faith. If it is a crime to keep faith with one's best convictions and the principles exemplified by the life of Jesus Christ, what, then, becomes the basis of our sacred covenants?

Moses vs. Jesus

While Moses set the example for Jews to follow by which he enunciated the Ten Commandments, but for the sake of "emergency" broke the tablets and violated the "LAW of God" by ordering 3000 Jews beheaded for worshipping the Golden Calf, no such precendent was set by Jesus. On the contrary, when He was taken upon the mountain top and shown the domain over which He could rule as King of the Jews if He became "patriotic" to head their army against the Romans, Jesus rebuked the tempter and cried over Jerusalem's fate, foreseeing that not one stone would be left upon another because of their faith in violence rather than in God. His prophecy came to pass, while He chose to stand before Pilate and have the mob choose a murderer to be set free upon vote of the people.

Unlike Moses, Christ set the example that one must keep faith with God and not draw the sword and He emphasized it so strongly that He walked up Golgathy and dramatically showed us the way by example.

Congress Should Make Provisions

Apparently the congress, in its zeal to protect Christians and their religion from persecution when it provided that objectors need not train in the use of arms, failed to take into consideration that the Example of Jesus Christ goes much farther and sets the precedent upon which Christians refuse to even register. This should be remedied unless America is to present the strange spectacle of protecting Jews from Europe while jailing American Christians for their religion.

The president has the power of rectifying unintentional violations of the constitution by exercising his authority as commander in chief and issuing pardons. I believe the above mentioned cases should be ordered reopened, the fact established whether the "evaders" are bonafide Christians or fakers and the President has the solemn duty under his oath to uphold the constitutional rights of those who are entitled to it.

Yours truly,
C. LEON de ARYAN.

CONTINENTAL BAKING CO. INDICTED

MAKERS OF WONDERBREAD GOT CAUGHT

For years the Continental Baking Co. has been trying to fix prices of bread **upwards** in San Diego, but got no co-operation from the local bakers of wholesale bread, like Snowflake, Kramers, Winter, etc. So the Continental decided to put them out of business by **cutting the price.** They lowered the 15c loaf to 10c and the 10c loaf to 8c, being well financed with a slushfund for cutting the throat of San Diego bakers. But now the federal grand jury caught up with them.

FEDERAL GRAND JURY INDICTS 11 BAKERS FOR PRICE-FIXING

PHILADELPHIA,—A federal grand jury indicted 11 baking companies and 30 officers and three other officers on charges of conspiracy to fix the prices of bread and bakery products in eastern Pennsylvania, Delaware, southern New Jersey and eastern Maryland.

The indictments charged that since about Aug. 1, 1936, the firms "have been continuously engaged in wrongful and unlawful combination and conspiracy in restraint of interstate trade and commerce in bread and bakery products in violation of the Sherman anti-trust act".

It also accused the companies of engaging in an "unlawful combination and conspiracy to limit the size and regulate the weights of loaves of bread" and "to fix non-competitive prices for the sale of bread".

Among the 11 companies named were: Continental Baking Co.

Editor's Note: San Diego Housewives should patronize our San Diego bakers in appreciation of the fact that they **refused to conspire and combine to raise the price of bread** on us or lower the quality of the loaf. Do not be fooled by the fact that Wonderbread cut the price, they did that to destroy San Diego bakers and be able to **raise the price thereafter.**

—o—

de Aryan Comments — every Wednesday night, 8:15, K G B .

—o—

PEACE FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BRITONS ALWAYS PUT GREAT BRITAIN FIRST !

Why Should Americans Not Put America First ?

Radio Broadcast, KGB, Wednesday 8:15 pm.
By Genevieve de Aryan

I have before me the copy of an editorial written by William Griffin, editor and publisher of the New York Enquirer. It starts with a quotation from Thomas Jefferson: "For us to attempt to reform all Europe and bring them back to principles of morality, and a respect for the equal rights of nations, would show us to be only maniacs of another character".

And now to the text of Griffin's editorial which not only appeared in his own New York Enquirer but was inserted in page length advertisements in the daily press of the great cities during Christmas week.

Wm. Griffin's Editorial

"It has become a major crime in the United States of America to be an American.

"Those who speak and act as Americans should, are instantly pounced upon and besmeared as dupes or agents of foreign powers hostile to the Republic.

"This mode of attack upon American patriotism in thought and deed is nothing new. It was put into ruthless operation in the Revolutionary War against George Washington and those who, under and with him, were risking their lives and everything they had to the end that America would be emancipated from trans-Atlantic chains, and enabled to live her own life in her own way, safe from all foreign interference and all foreign influence.

"There is no need to point out in detail whence come the inspiration and driving force of the campaign against America that is being waged upon American soil for the purpose of undoing the work of the Father of his Country and mak-

(Continued on page Four)

THE BROOM

"NOT AFRAID TO TELL THE TRUTH"
PUBLISHED EVERY MONDAY
Published at 3865 - 43rd St., San Diego, California
Subscription $2.50 per year; $1.30 for 6 Months
Phone RANDOLPH 2890 — RANDOLPH 2874
C. Leon de Aryan, editor - owner
Declared a legal paper of general circulation for the County and City of San Diego, by Superior Court order, January 15, 1932.
Entered as second-class matter March 27, 1931, at the postoffice of San Diego, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

THE STRANGE MR. CHURCHILL

Because the British Prime Minister, Winston Churchill, is figuring so largely in the news of international importance today and also because our own Adminstration is openly cooperating with the government headed by this gentleman, anything which throws light upon him and his activities, particularly as it relates to this country, is of vital importance to the people of the United States.

During the debate on the Neutrality Act in the Senate, Senator Lynn J. Frazier, of North Dakota, brought out hat William Griffin, editor of THE NEW YORK ENQUIRER, had been in London in 1936, and that while there he saw Winston Churchill. Senator Frazier, placed in the Congressional Record, October 21, 1939, copy of a telegram dated August 4, 1936, addressed to "William Griffin, Savoy Hotel", this particular one being in "photostatic copy of the telegram Mr. Griffin received from Winston Churchill", which reads as follows: "Could you come to see me at 5 o'clock, at 11 Morpeth Mansions, Westminster on Wednesday".

This appears in the Congressional Record, page 1140, issue of Oct. 21, 1939. Then Senator Frazier asked that an article by Carl W. McArdle from THE PHILADELPHIA EVENING BULLETIN, August 26, 1939, be printed in the Record. That article is headlined: "Churchill Decries 'Lies' ". Mr. Frazier stated that, according to the newspaper article, when Mr. McArdle asked Mr. Churchill "about Griffin's statement", the present Prime Minister said: "It is an absolute untruth, it is a vicious lie—you have my authorization to deny it in the strongest terms. I don't remember ever talking with a Mr. Griffin. I don't know him. In fact, I never heard of Mr. Griffin . . . Attributing such an assertion to me is preposterous, ridiculous".

If the allegations of William Griffin are true, the Right Honorable Mr. Winston Churchill did talk with the gentleman "on or about August 11, 1936", as is stated by Mr. Griffin and as will be shown in the text of the legal document which follows this preliminary explanation.

That legal document also shows why the editor and publisher of the New York ENQUIRER was taking legal action against Winston Churchill. It is clear that William Griffin was sure enough of his grounds for action because he appeared before a notary public on September 8, 1939, and swore that all the statements in the legal document were true.

Churchill's Interview with Griffin

"In 1936, according to Mr. Griffin, Winston Churchill told him "that it was a mistake for the United States to have entered the World War at the time the United States did enter the war" —the quoted words being Mr. Griffin's and are in the text of the legal document given herewith. If Winston Churchill expressed the views in 1936 which Mr. Griffin said that he did, it is easily understood why he should deny them in 1939. In 1939 Britain again wanted to play us for a flock of suckers—and everything that is going on now shows that they have us sized up with devastating correctness!

The text of the legal document was inserted in the Congressional Record, October 21, 1939, by Senator Frazier, appearing on pages 1139 and 1140, as follows:

Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York. William Griffin, plaintiff, against Winston S. Churchill, defendant, County of New York, ss:

William Griffin, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the plaintiff in the above intitled action and a resident of this State, residing at 1148 Fifth Avenue, New York City.

I am the editor and publisher of the newspaper, the New York Enquirer, located at 47 Walker Street, New York City, which has a wide circulation in the city of New York, and is also circulated elsewhere throughout this country.

2. The defendant, Winston S. Churchill, is a nonresident, is now First Lord of the British Admiralty, and resides in London, England. I know he resides in London, England, because I visited him there.

3. A cause of action exists in my favor against the defendant for the recovery of a sum of money only as damages for slanderous statements made of and concerning me by the defendant, whereby I have been injured, and that said cause of action arose from and is based upon the following facts;

4. On or about August 11, 1936, the defendant, Winston Churchill, now First Lord of the British Admiralty, invited me to call on him at his home in London, England. The invitation was in the form of a telegram which reached me at the Savoy Hotel in London, where I was staying at the time. I accepted the invitation and called on him at the time set in his telegram to me.

U. S. Entry in War, Mistake

5. During my visit the following conversation took place: I asked him if he did not agree with me that since America had helped England win the World War, she should pay her war debt, amounting to approximately $5,000,000,000 to the United States. Mr. Churchill made this reply: "I agree with you that England should at once pay every penny the United States claims she owes, but England should be allowed, before a final settlement is made, to deduct 50 percent of the cost of all the shot and shell she fired at the Germans from the time America declared war in the spring of 1917 until she actually put troops in the front lines a year later". I asked Mr. Churchill how much he estimated that deduction would amount to and he said: "About $4,900,000,000". I answered by saying: "If the war debt were settled on that basis the United States would almost owe England money". Churchill replied that the United States did owe England money, because if the debt settlement was a fair one then England should be allowed to deduct from the war debt interest from the time she expended the money until there was a final settlement. I expressed astonishment to the defendant, Winston Churchill at his attitude, because, I said: "In my opinion such a settlement would not be very fair to the United States in view of the fact that if we hadn't entered the war England would have lost the war, the British Empire would have been broken up and today (meaning at that time) England would probably be ruled from Berlin". Mr. Churchill did not agree with me. He said that he was very enthusiastic about our declaration of war in 1917, that there was no one in England happier over our decision to enter the war on the side of England than he was, but he could see now it was all a mistake for us to enter the World War, because, he said: "If you hadn't entered the World War we would have made peace with Germany early in 1917. Had we made peace then there would have been no collapse in Russia followed by communism, no break-down in Italy followed by fascism, and Germany would not have signed the Versailles Treaty, which has enthroned nazi-ism in Germany". In other words,

Mr. Churchill said, "If America had stayed out of the War all of these 'isms' wouldn't today be sweeping the Continent of Europe and breaking down parliamentary government, and if England had made peace early in 1917, it would have saved over 1,000,000 British, French, American and other lives". The defendant, Winston Churchill, said that he could understand it if Woodrow Wilson had put us in the war in 1915, at the time the Lusitania was sunk, but that when Wilson failed to put us in the war in 1915, when, in his (Churchill's) opinion, we had such a good excuse for going in, he could never understand why he put us in in 1917.

6. Mr. Churchill talked about other topics dealing with the war, and I interposed the statement: "I think the United States has learned its lesson", but Mr. Churchill countered: "When the next war starts in Europe you may want to stay out of it, but the long arm of world events will reach right around the American continent and the United States will be dragged in and you will find yourselves fighting shoulder to shoulder with us in defense of our common democratic institutions".

Churchill Wants $5.000

8. Before I left Mr. Churchill he asked me if I thought that his views on American participation in the World War and on war debts and whether we would go into the next war and various other questions would be interesting to the American people. I told him I felt sure that they would. He told me he would be glad to write a signed article for the New York Enquirer containing all of the above statements he had made to me that day during our conference for $500, but he would want me to buy the article as one of a series of 10, and said his price would be $500 an article.

I told him that I could not see my way clear to buy 10 articles but I would be glad to buy that article from him. Mr. Churchill was not willing to agree to sell one article.

8. After that I left him. In all, I had been with Mr. Churchill at least one hour in his apartment in London.

Within an hour or two after leaving Mr. Churchill I was interviewed by representatives of American news services in London and they asked me about my talk with Mr Churchill. I went into details and told them substantially everything Mr. Churchill had told me.

10. On August 12, 1936, the New York Journal-American published a story reporting that I had had a conference with the defendant, Winston Churchill, at his London home. Subsequent thereto the subject of my interview, and the fact that I had a conference with Mr. Churchill in his London home, was published in a large number of newspapers in the State of New York and in the United States. Subsequent thereto I was subpoenaed by the United States Senate and testified in Washington, D. C., before the Naval Affairs Committee of that body, and the testimony I gave included the subject matter of the conference with the defendant, Winston Churchill, all of which is a matter of record. On that committee was United States Senator David Walsh, Senator Gillette, Senator Bone and Senator Holt. After the meeting of the Naval Affairs Committee of the United States Senate, excerpts from my testimony before that committee dealing with my conference with Mr. Churchill were published in the leading newspapers of the United States, including the New York Journal-American and the New York Sun.

11. In the fall of 1938, Mr. Churchill talked over the radio to the people of the United States on a Nation-wide hook-up and pleaded with the United States to join forces with England to save democracy in Europe. The subject matter of my conference with Mr. Churchill, in which he stated that it was a mistake for the United States to have entered the World War at the time the United States did enter the war, were again published in newspapers throughout the United States.

12. In spite of the fact that numerous articles had been printed in the press of the United States, and, I believe, in the English press since Aug. 1936, no denial was ever made by Mr. Churchill of the statements that I ascribed to him or the fact that I had such a conference with him.

Winston Changes Mind in 1939

13. I am advised and verily believe that on or about the 26th of Aug. 1939, the defendant made a statement to a representative of the Evening Bulletin, a newspaper published in the city of Philadelphia, which statement was republished in the New York Times of Aug. 27, 1939, referring to me and to the aforesaid conference had with me, as follows: "It is an absolute untruth. It is a vicious lie. You have my authorization to deny it in the strongest terms. I never heard of Mr. Griffin".

14. Mr. Churchill has now seen fit to endeavor to destroy my reputation for truth & veracity because at the time he made the above statements to me he was trying to justify England's failure to pay its immense war debt to the United States. He wished to spill the blood of millions of Americans on the battlefields of Europe and to sacrifice not only millions of American lives in defense of the British Empire, but to have America finance the then threatened war. Peace-loving people throughout the world, during the past two years, have recognized that if another war started in Europe two men, Adolf Hitler, the brutal dictator of the Third Reich, and Winston Churchill, who has been crying for war, would be the men best satisfied by such a happening.

15. The statement made by the defendant that he "never heard of Mr. Griffin" was made deliberately and for the purpose of injuring me. Mr. Churchill is one of the leading members of the Conservative Party of England which has opposed England's living up to the Balfour declaration, under which Palestine was to be made a Jewish homeland, a project which I have long and vigorously championed.

16. Mr. Churchill has been zealous in his denunciation of nazism in Germany insofar at it applies to Hitler's acquiring more territory for Germany. I cannot recall his ever having denounced the denial of civil and religious liberty in Germany.

17. When I had my conference with Mr. Churchill, he knew that I was not only the editor and publisher of the New York Enquirer, but also the American member of the United States Polish Arbitration Commission, to which high office I was appointd by my friend, Hon. Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, to succeed the late George W. Wickersham, former Attorney General of the United States, and which post I still hold.

18. This defendant, Winston Churchill, as well as representatives of all other Europan governments, including those of Germany and France and every other nation indebted to the United States, knows full well that there is a joint resolution before the Congress of the United States proposing that I be named as a special ambassador to go to Europe and collect the war debts due the United States from European powers. I believe the purpose of the defendant, Winston Churchill, in denying his acquaintance with me, was to clear the way for the Government of Great Britain to solicit the aid of the United States, either financially or otherwise, in the then threatening war, a war which has since broken out.

19. That I am entitled to recover the amount claimed in my complaint over and above all counterclaims known to me.

20. That the above-entitled action is about to be commenced for the above stated cause and the annexed summons and complaint herein have been issued and a warrant of attachment is sought to accompany the same.

21. That the property of the defendant available for attachment in this State, I am advised and verily believe, does not exceed $20,000.

22. No previous application for a warrant of attachment of the defendant's property herein has been made to this or any other court or judge.

Wherefore, I respectfully submit that a warrant of attachment issue requiring the sheriff of New York County to attach property of the defendant not to exceed $20,000 in value.

WILLIAM GRIFFIN.

Sworn to before me this 8th day of Sept., 1939.

Notary Public, Bronx County.

Robert A. Siebert.

JUDGE BURNS "EVIDENCE"

The San Diego Union of Jan. 10 carried the following editorial:—

Courtroom Hysteria

A few more incidents like that which occured in a Los Angeles municipal court room this week, when a woman judge burned a small Nazi flag, may be calculated to churn up a state of hysteria and witch hunting to a dangerous degree.

The flag was snatched from the wall of a woman by a super- patriotic neighbor and the brawl that resulted landed both in the court presided over by the feminine Solomon, who proceeded to administer justice by burning the emblem of a nation with which we are, diplomatically and theoretically, at peace.

In thus countenancing the invasion of the privacy of a home by destroying that which aroused the invader's wrath, the Los Angeles municipal judge used precisely the same tactics that might have been used by a court in the land which she so roundly condemned. She went on to say that she was not burning the flag "as an overt act against Germany", but "as a protest against subversive activities".

In the first place, if the owner of the flag had been guilty of subversive activities, the case belonged to the federal authorities and not in a municipal court. And since when have the municipal courts been given the power to condone the invasion of a home and the destruction of its owner's possessions, regardless of how distasteful its presence may be to the outraged neighbor?

The situation in this country is serious enough without minor court officials adding to the difficulties of the State Department by taking on responsibilities which they never were intended to bear. Abhorrent as the Nazi principles which the swastika flag represents may be to all of us, we are not making the course of the national government any easier by taking matters into our own hands and attempting to chastise those who feel differently. That, most decidedly, is a federal matter.

—o—

PROMISE

I shall not violate
The laws of God or man,
Nor in any way appropriate
What to me does not belong,
But if I can
I shall convince the strong
To help the weak along.

—Oliva M. Diaz.

SADDLE A Winner
IT'S HOSS SWAPIN' TIME
ALL OUR 1-2-3 Year Old Cars MUST GO

'37 FORD "60" Tudor. This hoss doesn't eat many oats. Owners report from 22 to 26 miles to the gallon. This particular car is a mighty good in every way. A long shot at this price $299

'39 Ford De Luxe Tudor. This one is sound of body and limb. We think it's as clean a '39 as you'll find. This 2-year-old is going to win many races for its owner and pay long odds at $529

'38 FORD De Luxe Sedan. This beautiful car has a large trunk, extra nice upholstery; mechanically like new a new one. Excellent tires. A 3-year-old that leads the field $469

'38 NASH-LAFAYETTE 4-Door Sedan. There are thousands of miles of vacation trips, of mountain and seashore rides in this wonderful car. It's a fine automobile; new Folkstone finish, smooth motor, extra good tires and upholstery. The one to put your money on $469

'36 LA SALLE 4-Door Sedan. Mighty sound in heart and limb. You can't wear this type of car out; excellent mechanically; nice upholstery; white side-wall tires. A tip, buy this one! $369

3-Day exchange privilege. All horse flesh guaranteed. No cash needed if your old nag covers down payment.

UNIVERSITY MOTORS
Ford, Mercury, Lincoln - Zephyr
1276 University J-3141
BUY FROM A NEW CAR DEALER

JEWISH WORLD DOMINION

ROOSEVELT'S MESSAGE REVEALS FACTS

Dictatorships are odious, Britain should be aided, slackers and trouble makers must be put down, establish arsenal for democracy throughout the world, undeclared wars of past U. S. history, conscription of youth.

In his message to congress President Roosevelt has done nothing else but given the world the message of the Jewish Cahilla or secret planners of Jew dictatorship over all Gentiles. It merely confirms and restates what THE BROOM has said years ago. We warned you that Roosevelt's job was to sabotage not only the Constitution but the whole American concept of life, in fact to destroy individual sovereignity of the American citizen and make him a willing subject of dictatorial government. That was to be done by disrupting industry, introducing class warfare, destroying capital investments and dissipating savings. Then we told you that the ensuing decline of individual initiative will be followed by more demagogic scuttling of the ship and finally war.

"Friend" Roosevelt kept straight to the program. Why, because it is the Jewish program of boring from within, destroying the self-reliance and self-government of Gentiles, especially in the U. S. A. and taking over the "government" of the world. And did it happen? It certainly happened here, the Jews are running us and the Jews of the World are running over here to reinforce our "government".

As far as America is concerned the first business of the Jewish Cahilla, the secret planners, is to destroy the American concept that we the people are the government and by introducing permanent conscription to train the young men to realize that they are slaves of the government who does their thinking and all they have to do is give their lives to **enforce the will** of the "government" by putting down "slackers and trouble makers" that is: **Americans of the old style.** The Jews man the key positions of "government" and presto: an American conscript army under command of the International Jews: Cahilla.

Hitler Jew-Financed

To start the ball rolling the Cahilla had to produce a bogey-man. Hitler by ambition and temperament filled the role. They financed him to get him started, for he was financed by the metal cartels who wanted to make more profits out of armaments, metals. That is where the money came from to create, equip and pay for the Storm troopers. After he was safely in the saddle, then the metal cartel, having given him a long start in advance of the rest of the world, began hollering to the rest of us: **Help, help, the wolf is loose** among you sheep! Arm yourself in a hurry or you will be devoured!

That is the situation today. In the meantime they saw to it that Hitler was doing his stuff. They undermined German commerce with boycott and understelling from Austria, Czecho-Slovakia, Poland and to stop it Hitler captured those countries. Britain and France declared war. Everything went like clock work.

The war is on, the "menace" of the bad wolf is at our door. America must arm to defend itself! The metal trusts are reaping the profits. The International Jewish bankers are receiving American interests on the billions of increased borrowings to finance American armaments which are to be donated to the British branch of the Jewish Cahilla to "put down Hitler". That impoverishes America for another generation to come. With Jews in the saddle here, Jewish refugees are flooding the nation contrary to immigration laws. There are no laws. Now America is to become the world's arsenal for Cahilla's "democracy". Everybody in Central Europe is our "enemy", we must not feed the starving because then Jewdom will not be able to control them as well. But Russia is O. K., Russia **the communistic branch** of the Cahilla. Communism and Jewish subversive practice is **one and the same thing.** Nobody hollers about Russia gobling up part of Poland, Finland, the Baltics, Roumanian territory. Russia is allright. When Hitler weakens, Russia will gobble him up, too, that's the long range plan.

Now you know why President Roosevelt was able to tell you from his "last and best information that the axis powers are not going to win this war". The Cahilla has not planned it for them to win. Russia will win in Europe with the help of America and that is why we must supply the arms, become the arsenal and underwrite the cost.

TAILORS and CLEANERS
GEORGE A. SAUL, Tailor 1115 Broadway

HEALTH-GERMS

By George Starr White, M. D.

NUTS

Nuts make one of the best protein and fat foods.

Some nuts are hard to digest for certain persons. But do not think you cannot digest "nuts" because you cannot easily digest the particular nuts you have tried to eat.

Dr. Geo. Starr White

Pecans—the soft-shell variety—and cashews are probably the most easily digested and healthful nuts. Hickory nuts, common in the Eastern states, are excellent, but the work required to take them from their hard shells has lessened the demand for them and the pecan has come to take their place.

Nuts should be eaten soon after being taken from the shell, as the nut meats lose some of their best and most volatile oil after being exposed to the air.

Most nuts, with the exception of pecans and cashews, should be blanched before being eaten by anyone with a delicate digestion, or who is susceptible to sore mouth.

Peanuts are among the most difficult to digest. When we realize that they grow under ground, and are not a true "nut", we see that they cannot be compared to any tree-grown nut.

Nuts are highly concentrated and must be eaten sparingly. Some can digest them better if they are eaten as a "nut butter" or ground up and "sprinkled" on other food. Others must eat them by themselves. A few raisins and nuts, or four or five dates stuffed with pecans, make an ideal "lunch".

—o—

"Conscription, The Last of All Oppressions."
—Thomas Jefferson.

—o—

SUPREME COURT "CONJURIES"

WASHINGTON,—Congress may think it has been dieting the national labor relations board into a sylphlike slenderness. But the new supreme court is now feeding it vitamins on the side so fast that even NLRB's papa won't recognize it when the court gets through.

In the Link-Belt case, for a prime instance, Justice William Douglas spoke for the court the edict that conclusive facts are not necessary for NLRB to find a man guilty. "Here no one fact is conclusive," the justice said. "But the whole congeries of the facts before the board support its findings." (The word is "congeries", not "conjuries", as Douglas used it.)

—Paul Mallon.

—o—

No one could ever meet death for his country without the hope of immortality.—Cicero.

—o—

AT LAST

The spirit of God shall conquer
The voice of Satan lose out,
The still small voice be victorious
Though Satan may fight and shout.

At last all matter submissive
Shall do the biddings of Him
That peace may reign on this planet
The last to be wip'd in trim.

—Otto Schkoelziger.

—o—

TAX INHERITANCE

Taxpayers today in some municipalities are paying for improvements made during the Civil war, because those cities used long term bond issues which required refunding, a survey by the National Consumers Tax commission reveals.

—o—

"In time of war prepare for peace!"

CHIROPRACTORS

G. E. STEBBINS, D. C. Chiropractor, 1058 - 21st Street, Franklin 1598.

DR. O. R. WAGNER, D. C., 2863 Fifth Ave., Phone Jackson 1439.

DR. R. J. McBRIDE, D. C., 413 California Bank Bldg., Main 1466.

DR. CLARENCE PATTON, D. C., 212 Commonwealth Bldg., M 1895; Electrical Treatments.

PINTLER & IRISH, 1956 Fifth Avenue, Franklin 4988.

HENRY'S SHOE SHOP
SHOES MADE LONGER & WIDER
3823 - 30th Street

"Men Must Act" ... or be enslaved

Clark M. Cornell, 30, farmer

Boyd B. Cornell, 24, printer

ARE WE FELONS? OR PATRIOTS?

As it is writ in the books, that is, in some books, we whose pictures appear herewith, are the only disloyal men in Idaho between 21 and 36 years of age. yet, as we meet and mingle with our neighbors we find there are many who are very much of our own minds in regard to the futility and hypocricy of America's part in the World War, and also in the certainty that the repeating of that act would be times-over more stupid and futile and destructive.

We say, in these matters, men generally agree with us,—so far as their "talking" goes. They agree, too, that the slogan, "he kept us out of war", was most certainly the thing that determined the election of Woodrow Wilson in 1916 and that Roosevelt's promises and pledges against involving America in war in the present instance was what probably secured his election to his third term.

For twenty years following the World War, Americans were almost unanimous in declaring "never again". British Imperialists, however, were more realistic. They knew the course followed as the result of the Versailles treaty and other international injustices, was leading straight to war and they knew that they would need America's help and they already had a blue print made as to exactly how they would get it. American intervention must be "sold" America—in human experience it is very common to make "headache" resolutions, of "never again" and it is "almost" as common to break them.

But directions were once given for "avoiding" the repetition of such "headache" experiences. Those directions were put in one brief sentence: "He that "knoweth" the will of God (the peaceway, in this instance) and "doeth" it not, to him it is a sin (a headache). That is, men must "do" (act) as well as know. If "doing" (acting) the right thing, entails "punishment"—even so severe as a firing squad, much less five years and $10,000—yet the punishment constitutes the basis for hope, for the better day, while avoiding the punishment and taking the "headache" brings the hell of hopeless, futile blitzkreig.

We are just common Idaho fellow who, since finishing high school have found so much interest in the struggle of every-day life as it pressess us directly as individuals and indirectly, as citizens, that we did not contact higher schooling. Because of this lack of specialized training, in so far as higher schooling provides it, we cannot be too sure that we have always thot things thru to fool-proof conclusions; but so far as we are able to reason it out, it looks to us that one could never be at all satisfied with his own life record if, convinced as we are that America's World War experience only added to the madness and national tragedy of those years, and that repeating that madness and tragedy will but multiply the stupidity of it all—we say we can foresee that if we permitted ourselves to be forced into registering in the naval and military program that is so obviously headed for the repetition or that folly, we would, to the last day of our lives, be ashamed of our record.

On the other hand, those who see this European war as "our" war, have a feeling that they should enlist. That feeling has been "sold" them. They are only being "doped" to repeat a "headache", in the belief it's the "right" thing. They are not weighted as we are with the consciousness that, in obeying this law, they are violating their own knowledge of what is sensible as well as stultifying their own consciousness of what is right.

If we, Cornell brothers felt that we, of all of the many thousands of Idaho conscription subjects, were the only ones who believed that American involvement in 1917 was a stupid and futile tragedy and that the present day-by-day "made-to-order" drift toward its repitition is times over more stupid and futile, we still would feel that the dignity of manhood would require that we refuse to endorse it by registering as a part of it; but, with the assurance that we have that there are thousands of other Idahoans who in the premises, believe as we do, we feel that it is a duty we not only owe to ourselves to "act" in accord with our own consciousness but it is even more imperatively a debt that we owe those whose foresight in the matter was not active enough to prompt them to act in harmony with their belief.

Therefore, on the basis that even tho the punishment is severe, there is hope in it and we make it our choice. And on the basis that tho the "headache" is more popular and is delayed, it is far more severe and entirely without hope, we reject it.

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT
Tax Sale No. 1179 J, L & M incl., 1190 & 1242 A, B & C

NOTICE of SALE of PROPERTY DEEDED TO THE STATE FOR DELINQUENT TAXES

Whereas, I was on the 25th day of November, 1940 directed by the Board of Supervisors of San Diego County, State of California, and there was received by me and filed in my office an authorization of the State Controller, dated December 5, 1940 to sell at public auction, for cash in lawful money of the United States, certain tax deeded properties, public notice is hereby given that unless the said properties are redeemed, as provided by law, I will on the 22nd day of January, 1941 at the hour of 10:00 o'clock a. m. in the Tax Collector's office in the San Diego City and County Administration Building at 1600 Pacific Boulevard in the City of San Diego, sell as directed the said property for a sum not less than the minimum price set forth in this notice.

The parcel or parcels of property that are the subject of this notice are situate in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, and are described as follows.

SALE NO. 1179 J
Berkeley Heights
Lots 30 & 31, Block 1
Assessed to W. T. Barnett for years 1931 to 1937 incl.
Minimum price $20.00 each lot plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1179 L
Berkeley Heights
Lots 28 to 31 incl., Block 4
Assessed to Grable Francisco Bleifuss Co., for years 1929 to 1932 incl.
Assessed to Sophia D. Thurmond for years 1933 to 1935 incl.
Minimum price $20.00 each lot plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1179 M
Berkeley Heights
Lots 32 & 33, Block 4
Assessed to Lisle E. Shoemaker year 1928.
Assessed to Lisle Shoemaker for for years 1929 to 1933 incl.
Assessed to Sophia D. Thurmond for year 1934.
Minimum price $20.00 each lot plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1190
La Mesa Townsite
E 25 ft. of Lot 8, all Lot 9, Block 18
Assessed to Thos Wilson for year 1930.
Assessed to Katheryn Sinnige for years 1931 & 1932.
Assessed to Doris Daneri for years 1933 to 1936 incl.
Minimum price $200.00 plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1242 A
Swan's Addition
Lots 33 & 34, Block 5
Assessed to R. Walter Wheeler for years 1932 to 1938 incl.
Minimum price $12.00 each plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1242 B
Swan's Addition
Lot 35, Block 5
Assessed to A. Paddock Collmann for year 1926.
Assessed to A. Paddock Collman for years 1927 to 1932 incl.
Minimum price $12.00 each lot plus cost of sale.

SALE NO. 1242 C
Swan's Addition
Lots 36 & 37, Block 5
Assessed to Anna Berghofer for year 1926.
Assessed to Anna Berghafer for year 1927.
Assessed to Anna Berghofer for years 1928 & 1929.
Assessed to Anna Berghafer for years 1930 to 1932 incl.
Minimum price $12.00 each lot plus cost of sale.

If redemption of the property or the initiation of an installment plan of redemption is not made according to law prior to the sale thereof the right of redemption will cease. For information regarding redemption apply to ROBERT W. GETTY, Auditor-Controller of San Diego County, Civic Center, San Diego, California.

SAM A. CLAGGETT
Tax Collector of San Diego County, and Ex-officio Tax Collector City of San Diego, State of California.
Date of first and last publications Dec. 30, 1940; Jan. 13, 1941.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS
No. 28445

Estate of James Thomson, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given by the undersigned, Chester L. Gunn as the Administrator of the estate of the above named Deceased, to the creditors and all persons having claims against the said deceased, that within six months after the first publication of this notice, they either file them with the necessary vouchers in the offices of the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, or present them with the necessary vouchers to the said Chester D. Gunn at his place of business to-wit, Room 22, San Diego Court House, San Diego, California.

Signed, Chester D. Gunn, Administrator of the estate of the above named deceased.

Dated at San Diego this 3rd day of January, 1941.
James B. Abbey, District Attorney
By Robert T. Jenson, Deputy.
Attorneys for said Chester D. Gunn.
Date of first and last publications Jan. 6; Febr. 3, 1941.

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT
CERTIFICATE OF INDIVIDUAL-FICTITIOUS NAME

Civil Code Sec. 2466-2468-2470

State of California, County of San Diego, ss.

I hereby certify that I am transacting business at 1023 Seventh Avenue, San Diego, in the State of California, under a designation not showing the name of the person interested in such business, to-wit:

Typewriter Equipment Company

Name, Fred H. Schlador. Place of Residence, 3617 3rd Avenue, San Diego, California.

State of California, County of San Diego, ss.

On the 18th day of December in the year one thousand nine hundred and forty, before me personally appeared Fred H. Schlador, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

Witness my hand and the seal of my office this 18th day of December, 1940.

J. B. McLEES,
Clerk of Superior Court, San Diego County, California.
(S E A L)

R. B. JAMES,
Notary Public.

Date of first and last publications, Dec. 30, 1940; Jan. 20, 1941.

Resolve That During 1941

you will more fully enjoy the many benefits of

Modern ELECTRICAL Living

Reddy Kilowatt says:

SAN DIEGO'S ELECTRICAL RATES ARE AMONG THE LOWEST IN THE NATION

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

Peace for the U. S. A.

(From Radio Talk, Wednesday 8:15 pm KGB)

(Continued from page One)

ing this Republic a militant partner in Europe's latest blood-feud.

"We Americans are entirely too prone to blame Britain for the brutal war campaign to which our country is subtlely subjected. Instead of excoriating Britain, should we not rather condemn ourselves? If we were as true to our own interests in all things and at all times, as Britain is to hers, we would not be confronting the fateful crisis which we are now facing.

"Instead, Therefore, of blaming Britain, let us give her the high credit that is her due.

"Let us moreover, take a leaf, or rather many leaves, out of her book, and apply to our own land the mighty lessons which they teach.

"We must honor her for eternally serving her own interests. If we allow ourselves to be exploited by her, the fault lies with ourselves. And the remedy likewise, lies with ourselves.

"No one will have the temerity to say that Britain, had she done for us in the World War what we did for her, and received the Uncle Shylock reward which we received, would tolerate our even suggesting that she repeat the procedure on an even larger scale at the present time.

"And if she did not only tolerate our suggestion but set about the repeating process, we would have a perfect right to despise her for her want of common sense alone.

"Oh, let's stop upraiding and scolding and denouncing Britain, and **profit** by her example of self-loyalty to the fullest extent!

"**Our** first line defense is here at home, not thousands of miles away.

Britain Left Allies to Their Fate

"When the Nazi blitzkrieg in Europe was at its height last June, the British retained by far the major portion of their air and other forces at home, because they realized that, despite the plight of their French and other allies, their first line of defense was in Britain. So eager were they to hold their first line of defense, that they made superhuman efforts to withdraw all their forces from the Continent, leaving their allies to their fate, when the Nazi onslaught was smashing all before it. **Britain always puts Britain first.**

"There is no novelty in the laughable albeit treasonable fallacy that Britain is America's first line of defense. In the War of Independence, George Washington and his fellow Americans were told the same thing when they were admonished that our country could not exist alone, that it needed the protection and care of England if it were not to become the prey of some other European power.

"But George Washington and his compatriots were men of thought, devotion and action, and they simply scorned this damnable heresy. The sagacity of their steadfastness it there for all to see.

"And now, in the twentieth century, when we are by far the greatest nation of our day or any other day, the American people are being asked to believe that **Britain** is our first line of defense and that Britain is fighting our battle.

"The simple truth of the matter is that Britain herself does not believe these things, and she rightfully holds in contempt all those who believe them.

"There is nothing that Britain would not give at this instant could she exchange places with us, and live and thrive securely under the God-given protection of two vast oceans and her own vast strength, without foreign handicaps of any kind.

"Why are we not as wise as she?

Benedict Arnold 1941 New Deal

"Those who desire proof of the bewhiskered character of the propaganda that America must rely for protection upon Britannia, have but to read two proclamations issued by Major Benedict Arnold after he absconded from West Point on his famous aid-for-Britain enterprise.

"Britain is not to be taxed with Arnold's treason. He was a native American, but like too many natives and other Americans of our day, his heart belonged to Britannia, not to America.

"The Grand Patron of American Disloyalty to America, past and present, issued the proclamation in question to the American people and Washington's army. After the manner of our present-day disloyalists, Arnold poses as a super-American, he besmears Washington and his co-patriots in the best aid-for-Britain style.

"Talk of aid-for-Britain! Listen to the American-born Benedict Arnold as he addresses the American people, after having deserted America to stand by Britain: (he said) 'I affect no disguise, and therefore frankly declare that in these principles I had determined to retain my (American) arms and command for an opportunity to surrender them to Great Britain, and in concerting the measures for a purpose, in my opinion, as grateful as it would have been beneficial to my country.'

"If Britain, who on Decmber 15 defaulted upon her World War debt to us, amounting to the prodigious figure of five and one-half billion dollars, succeeds in taking Uncle Sam for another one-way financial ride, she will merit not a word of censure from this side of the Atlantic.

"If Britain succeeds in securing American merchant shipping for transporting her war supplies, and American warships as convoys for her own and our own merchant ships, she will likewise merit not a word of censure from this side of the Atlantic.

"And if Britain, through manifold financial and other maneuverings, succeeds in befooling Uncle Sam into actual and unprecedented war, we Americans will have no right to attribute our plight to her.

No British Santa Claus

"Did any American ever hear of a leading and infuential Briton calling in the newspapermen and informing them that England owed a solemn duty to America, part of which was the transmitting of billions of dollars as gifts to Washington, and when asked where the money was to come from, replying: 'Out of your pocket and mine'?

"Did any American ever hear a British Government spokesman earnestly assuring this Republic that Britain would take over the prosecution of an all consuming war, which this Republic itself had declared with its eyes wide open, and further hear the said British Government spokesman pledge America that British blood and wealth and all other resources would be poured out in behalf of America, like water shooting from a fire hose?

"Did any American ever see the British Parliament, while poverty, unemployment and stagnation of every kind gripped Britain's national life, preocupied with the task of aiding America and other foreign countries, voting billions upon billions of dollars for the benefit of alien lands, and placing upon the backs of the British people, present and future, the slavery of redeeming these unconstitutional and unconscionable appropriations?

"**Britain** is too wise to her own well-being and self-respect to permit recreancy of this kind.

"Only in the United States of America, of all the lands on the earth's surface, are the wishes and welfare of foreign nations the paramount concern.

"Fathers and mothers of America, your country is periously close to active participation in the European war. You know, what our going to war means to the America you love and the boys you love.

Independence, Constitution Jeopardized

"Surely you and the rest of your fellow citizens of this afflicted, yet, thanks be to God, still Great Republic, are not going to remain inactive while the furnaces of war are being raised to white heat to receive the living bodies of America's youth and with them the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States.

"War for America will mean untold disasters for America, including at the very outset, the establishment of a native type of Nazi-Soviet dictatorship, and, consequently, the immediate triumph here at home of those evils ostensibly for whose destruction abroad we will have marched to battle.

"Americans, the members of both Houses of Congress are your sworn servants, elected and paid by you to do your will, not to exploit and enslave you as they please for the aggrandizement of foreign nations or any other reason. Keep a close eye upon their words and performances. Write them, telegraph them, telephone them—not just once or twice, but regularly.

"Communicate with them right now and inform them that you will not tolerate the policy of having America finance and fight Britain's war, that your government must cease playing Santa Claus gone mad to foreign countries, that this Republic's first and every other duty is to itself, and that the public servants who are chaining and ruining America will one day have to render a strict account of their actions to the sovereign people of America.

"Let us Americans be as mindful of and as active for the interests of this Republic as Britain is for those of Britain.

"The time for mere complaining has past. The time for effective talk and effective action is here. On the use which we Americans make of it depends the very life of our cherished country."

Editor's Note: The above radio address is an excerpt from an editorial which William Griffin published in the New York ENQUIRER, 47 Walker St., New York City. It also appeared in daily papers in large cities as a paid advertisement. Mr. Griffin is asking for financial contributions to enable him to continue to insert these advertisements and counteract the British war propaganda in America. We might as well stand up For America First, while we may.

Steetle Sheet Metal Works
General Sheet Metal Contractors
3271 University Avenue Phone R-0126

President Roosevelt has announced already his intention of jailing those who do not agree with his policies when he addressed the congress last Monday, saying:

"The best way of dealing with the few slackers or trouble makers in our midst is, first, to shame them by patriotic example, and, if that fails, to use the sovereignity of government to save government."

THESE NEWS STANDS SELL THE BROOM
New P. O. Lobby, 8th and E Streets.
Civic Center, (ask for it).
411 Broadway.
Newsboy, S.W. Cor. 5th & Broadway
Tocque's Confectaurant, 4350 University
3865 Forty-third Street

OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN
DR. W. R. BYARS, D. O., 3431 FIFTH AVE.
Jackson 7761; Res. Jackson 6059.

OLIVES
GIFFORD'S California Olives

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT
SUMMONS
Superior Court State of California
County of San Diego
No. 101595

Eugene George Leonard, Plaintiff vs. Geraldine Leonard, Defendant.

Action brought in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Diego, and the Complaint filed in said County of San Diego, in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court.

The People of the State of California send Greeting: To Geraldine Leonard, Defendant.

You are hereby directed to appear and answer to a Complaint in an action entitled as above, brought against you in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, within ten days after the service on you of this summons—if served within this County; or within thirty days if served elsewhere.

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the Plaintiff will take judgment for any money or damages demanded in the Complaint, as arising upon contract or will apply to the Court for any other relief demanded in the Complaint.

Given under my hand and seal of the Superior Court of the County of San Diego, State of California, this 12th day of November, 1940.

J. B. McLees, Clerk.
By T. H. Sexton, Deputy.
(Seal of Superior Court)
Henry W. Hache
413 Spreckles Bldg.
San Diego, Calif.
Plaintiff's Attorney.
Date of first and last publications Nov. 18, 1940; Jan. 13, 1941.

"Why! It's you!"

People feel the nearness of their friends when they hear from them by telephone. Mountains and valleys, counties and state lines melt away as happy voices merge.

A big service, a loyal one and a courteous one, stands behind your Telephone.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TELEPHONE COMPANY
Main 1171 914 C St.

WILLYS AMERICAR

The car that is value built



THE fine AMERICAR is built for the great American public who knows what it means to work for a dollar.

The F. O. B. Toledo cost on the coupe is only $635* and on the four-door sedan only $665*.

More power—more economy—more style—more efficiency—more safety—more value.

The fine AMERICAR is the lowest priced full-sized automobile in the world. It will travel farther on a gallon of gasoline and cost less than any other full-sized car in America.

*Federal, state and local taxes, if any, plus transportation, extra.

DAVIES MOTORS, Inc.
1437 Broadway—Or See Your Nearest Willys Dealer—M-3191

MATERNITY
including 10 days' hospitalization; delivery; before and after care by PHYSICIAN $85



Call For Details at
4th AVE. HOSPITAL
Open Saturdays All Day Open Evenings by Appointment
1870-4th Ave. *Easy Payments* F-6114

JOHN T. FLYNN in his book on Franklin Delano Roosevelt, entitled Country Squire in the White House, makes these interesting statements:

"The total earnings of the whole family"—referring to the Roosevelts—"during the (past) eight years will amount to something over $2,500,000. This is certainly an excellent showing for a period of pronounced depression."

August 20th Larry Le Seur, CBS correspondent in London, said it is hard to describe the weird sound of an air-raid siren, but as he was walking along, the siren sounded. A black cat on the street arched his back, fluffed his tail, and bolted for the nearest basement window.

Le Seur commented: "He must have thought that 10,000 tomcats were challenging his right to existence". Not bad!

FRUIT & VEGETABLE JUICES
30 c per quart at the store, 31c delivered
Phone: M. 6961 **931 Ninth Avenue**

Publish Your Own Magazine
— Start A Local Paper —
We Specialize in Printing M. O. Papers, Folders, and Ad Sheets
NEVER BEFORE—
—has a mail order magazine or local newspaper been offered to co-publishers, wherein they may use their own copy in its columns.
You may run news, articles, stories, poems, etc, of your own, or of your choosing.
Write today for prospectus on this NEW plan for publishers.
ADVANCE PRINTING CO.
Post Falls, Idaho

MOUNTAIN MEADOW
Daylight Delivery
Good Service at your home. at your grocer.

Phone J-3171
Mountain Meadow Dairy Products
Producers, Distributors

SHOES SCIENTIFICALLY FITTED
WAHLGREN'S
North Park
BOOTERY
Honest Values in Shoes and Repairing
3005 University Ave.

Thrifty Men Ride To and From Business
In Clean, Safe, Comfortable
YELLOW CAB
and share cost with friends. 1 to 5 for one fare.
Phone M. 4141